



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/611,639

07/01/2003

Jin Li

13768.1380

1386

47973

7590

04/29/2010

WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

KENDALL, CHUCK O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/29/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



### **Detailed Action**

1. This is in response to application filed 02/17/10.
2. Claims 1 – 30 are pending.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 - 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Beaumont et al. US 2004/0073511 A1 in view of Burke 5,423,041.

Regarding claims 1, 21 – 26, and 30 Beaumont discloses a system facilitating rule composition comprising:

a rule composer that in response to a user input facilitates at least one of creation and modification of a rule, the rule composer further receiving

Art Unit: 2192

information associated with the at least one of creation and modification of the rule based, and a display component that displays hierarchical information associated with the rule [0045 – 0046 see modify rules, or create rules, also see paragraph 0046, for building blocks of rules and tree hierarchy]

Beaumont doesn't expressly disclose wherein the rule base is at least in part, upon natural language inline editing.

However, Burke in an analogous art and similar configuration of composing rules in an object oriented language environment discloses creating and modification of class instances as well as user defined classes and rule sequences for a particular language (1:55 - 2: 15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Beaumont and Burke, because it would enable devising a rule set for a particular object oriented language as suggested by Burke above.

Regarding claim 2, the system of claim 1, further comprising an input component that facilitates receipt of information associated with the at least one of creation and modification of the rule [Beaumont 0015] also see Burke FIG. 2.

Regarding claim 3, the system of claim 1, the rule being a business rule [0051].

Regarding claim 4, the system of claim 1, the rule being based, at least in part, upon a parameterized format string definition [0050, see configure, and code packets].

Regarding claim 5, the system of claim 1, the rule being based, at least in part, upon a composable vocabulary definition [0028, see additional definition or rule].

Regarding claim 6, the system of claim 1, the display component facilitating a user interface comprising a first splitting panel and a second splitting panel [0028, see display].

Regarding claims 7 and 27, the system of claim 6, wherein the first splitting panel facilitates building a condition associated with the rule [0028].

Regarding claim 8, the system of claim 6, wherein the second splitting panel facilitates building an action associated with the rule [0028, see first

Art Unit: 2192

and second list].

Regarding claim 9, the system of claim 1, wherein editing focus can be transferred from a node associated with the node visually accentuated to represent a parent-child relationship [0046, see tree and hierarchy].

Regarding claim 10, the system of claim 1, the display component facilitating a user interface comprising a property window that displays a property of a node of the hierarchical information [0046].

Regarding claim 11, the system of claim 1, the rule comprising a condition [0011, see condition].

Regarding claim 12, the system of claim 11, the condition comprising at least one of a logical and, a logical not and a logical or [0012, see logical expression].

Regarding claim 13, the system of claim 1, the display component facilitating display of a context menu associated with at least one of an action and a condition associated with the rule [0045].

Regarding claim 14, the system of claim 1, the display component facilitating a user interface comprising at least one of adjust splitting panels, a drag and drop operation, and, a clipboard operation [Examiner is taking official notice on the use of copy and pasting and the use of clipboard operation as such operations are well known in the art].

Regarding claim 15, the system of claim 1 the rule composer comprising a rule editor and a policy explorer [0045].

Regarding claim 16, the system of claim 1 the rule composer facilitating editing of a parameter having a range [0045].

Regarding claim 17, the system of claim 1 the rule composer facilitating editing of an unconstrained parameter [0045].

Regarding claim 18, the system of claim 1, the rule composer facilitating editing of a parameter having a value in a set of values [0045 and 0058].

Regarding claim 19, the system of claim 1, the rule composer facilitating type compatibility checking [0058, see simulation as interpreted].

Regarding claim 20, the system of claim 1 the rule composer facilitating error checking and providing error information to the display component if an error is found [0045-0046].

Regarding claim 28, the user interface of claim 26, the rule editor region comprising an action region that displays information associated with an action associated with the rule [0045-0058].

Regarding claim 29, the user interface of claim 26, further comprising a property condition region that displays information associated with a property of a node of the hierarchical information associated with the policy [0045-0059].

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 29 have been considered but not persuasive to overcome the previous rejection.



Applicant argues on page 8 of his recent response that the prior art doesn't disclose natural inline editing. Examiner disagrees, as disclosed in prior art Burke discloses creating and modification of class instances as well as user defined classes and rule sequences for a particular language (1:55 - 2: 15). Applicant's plain language of claims merely discloses creation and modification of the rule based, at least in part, upon natural language inline editing, as such a natural language based on the claimed limitations could be construed as any programmable language or user defined language as such. If the meaning of natural language is intended to be different than what is claimed, provisions should be made to clarify the definition within the claim language as supported by the specification in order to properly and distinctly present that particular claim limitation.

#### Correspondence Information

5.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached between Monday and Thursday, at 11:00 am - 4:300pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chuck O Kendall/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192